

Case 14-E-0270

Petition Requesting Initiation of a
Proceeding to Examine a Proposal for
Continued Operation of the R.E. Ginna
Nuclear Power Plant, LLC.

Hearing Exhibit 57

Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation

**Petition for Initiation of a Proceeding to Examine a Proposal for Continued
Operation of the R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant
Case 14-E-0270**

INFORMATION REQUEST

Requesting Party and No: Alliance for a Green Economy (AGR-12)

Request No.: RE-15-012

Date of Request: June 1, 2015

Response Due: June 11, 2015

Date of Reply: June 11, 2015

12. The 2013 and 2015 DTF reports appear to be inconsistent regarding the plans and estimated costs for decommissioning Ginna. In the 2013 filing, CENG provided a site-specific cost estimate and a trust fund balance schedule, indicating that Ginna would be placed in SAFSTOR and major decommissioning activities deferred until 2075. The 2015 filing does not provide a site-specific cost estimate and no indication of the planned decommissioning method, and only references the cost estimate provided in the 2013 filing. What are the plans for decommissioning Ginna? Which method is being planned for: DECON, SAFSTOR, or ENTOMB? If SAFSTOR, at what date is anticipated for commencing major decommissioning activities. In addition, when is it planned for the commencement of the transfer of irradiated fuel from the fuel pool to dry storage?

Response:

GNPP objects to this information request as it seeks information related to decommissioning and is, therefore, beyond the scope of this proceeding established by the Administrative Law Judges (“ALJs”) in their *Ruling on Scope of Issues for Hearing* issued May 14, 2015. In its *Statement of Material Issues of Fact Requiring Hearing*, AGREE specifically requested that issues related to decommissioning be included in the scope of this proceeding. The ALJs denied AGREE’s request stating, “[w]e also decline to recognize issues . . . concerning *decommissioning* and the role of State agencies in planning for plant retirements and reliability planning generally.” (Ruling at 12) (emphasis added).

GNPP further objects to this information request because it seeks information that is unduly broad, irrelevant and outside the scope of the current phase of this proceeding (*see* 16 NYCRR §§ 5.1 and 5.8).